

<b>Title:</b>	Weight Bearing and Upright Simulation MRI/CT Evaluation for Degenerative Spinal Disorder
<b>Author:</b>	Chiu, John C., M.D., FRCS, D.Sc, Director, Neurospine Surgery
<b>Institution:</b>	California Spine Institute Medical Center, Thousand Oaks, CA 91320, USA
<b>Purpose:</b>	To demonstrate the benefit of diagnostic “weight bearing and upright position simulation” MRI/CT scanning to enhance diagnostic accuracy and precise pathology findings in degenerative spinal disorders. This system more accurately demonstrates the “real life” patho-anatomy and pathophysiology of the degenerative spine and the neural compression under “axial loading” condition. This technology enables more specific and precise diagnosis of degenerative lumbar spine, compared with the conventional supine spinal imaging study. It compresses the disc and facet joint further, simulating a patient in standing, bending and lifting weight, i.e. when sciatica and neurogenic claudication are more pronounced as a result of this “weight bearing and upright position simulation” MRI and CT imaging studies.
<b>Materials and Methods:</b>	Since 2005, 620 consecutive weight bearing imaging studies have been performed. A lumbar spine compression device (by using DynaWell® – L-spine Compression Device, DynaWell Diagnostics, Inc., www.dynawell.biz) was utilized. Spinal axial compression was performed with gradual increasing compressive force, up to 50% of the patient’s body weight during MRI or CT scanning.
<b>Results:</b>	Under axial lumbar spinal compression protocol, 70% of disc protrusions or herniation showed a significant increase of 2mm or more, compared with non-weight bearing MRI or CT Scanning. 14% of patients over age 55 were found to have a significant synovial cysts of 2 mm. or greater. It also demonstrates the relationship and mechanism of the neural compression by the protruded lumbar disc and the synovial cyst on the images. The patho-anatomy and mechanism of lumbar stenosis, both central and lateral, as the result of the axial compression to the lumbar discs, ligamentum flavum, and synovial cysts, causing “stenotic choking” of the lumbar nerves was clearly demonstrated. A more specific and valid diagnosis was achieved, as compared to regular non-loading MRI/CT scan imaging. A firm basis for the treatment decision for exact pathology is offered to the spine surgeon for a better treatment outcome.
<b>Conclusion:</b>	It is obviously advantages with “weight bearing and upright position simulation” MRI/CT imaging evaluation for degenerative spinal disorder. It assists in defining the diagnosis of lateral and central spinal stenosis with encroachment of spinal canal, the disc herniation and facet joint synovial cyst, and the extent of neural compression. The spinal patient should be referred for MRI or CT imaging study with and without “weight bearing and upright position simulation” for better understanding and treatment of the degenerative spinal disorder. It should be an important part of preoperative surgical planning in minimally invasive spinal surgery.

## References:

1. Danielson, B, Willen, J: Axially Loaded Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Lumbar Spine in Asymptomatic Individuals. *Spine* 2001; 26:201-6.
2. Danielson, B, Willen, J, Gaultitz, A, Nikalson, T, Hasson, T: Axial Loading of the Spine During CT and MR in Patients with Suspected Lumbar Spinal Stenosis. *ACTA Radiologica* 39:1998: 604-611.
3. \*\*Danielson BI, Willén J, Gaultitz A, et al. Axial loading of the spine during CT and MR in patients with suspected lumbar spinal stenosis. *Acta Radiol* 1998;39:604-611.
4. Willen J, Danielson B: The Diagnostic Effect from Axial Loading of the Lumbar Spine during Computed Tomography and MR Resonance Imaging in Patients with Degenerative Disc Disorders. *Spine* 2001; 26:2607-14.
5. Chiu J, Clifford T, Princenthal R. The new frontier of minimally invasive spine surgery through computer assisted technology. In: Lemke HU, Vannier MN, Invamura RD (eds), *Computer assisted radiology and surgery, CARS 2002*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp 233-7, 2002.
6. Chiu J. Endoscopic Lumbar Foraminoplasty In: Kim D, Fessler R, Regan J, eds. *Endoscopic Spine Surgery and Instrumentation*. New York: Thieme Medical Publisher; 2004: Chapter 19, pp 212-229.
7. Chiu, J., Savitz, MH. Use of Laser in Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgery and Pain Management. In: Kambin P, ed. *Arthroscopic and Endoscopic Spinal Surgery – Text and Atlas*. Second Edition. New Jersey: Humana Press; 2005: Chapter 13, pp 259-269.
8. Chiu J, Evolving Transforaminal Endoscopic Microdecompression for Herniated Lumbar Discs and Spinal Stenosis: In, Szabo Z, Coburg AJ, Savalgi R, Reich H, eds. *Surgical Technology International XIII*, UMP, San Francisco, CA 2004: pp. 276-286
9. Jinkins JR. *Atlas of neuroradiologic embryology, anatomy and variants*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Williams and Wilkins; 2000.
10. Jinkins JR, Green C, Damadian R. Upright, weight-bearing, dynamic-kinetic MRI of the spine: pMRI/kMRI. *Rivista di Neuroradiol* 2001;14:135:2001.
11. Nachemson AL, Schultz AB, Berkson MH. Mechanical properties of human lumbar spine motion segments: influences of age, sex, disc level, and degeneration. *Spine* 1979;4:1-8.
12. Boden SD, Frymoyer JW. Segmental instability: overview and classification. In: Frymoyer JW, editor. *The adult spine: principles and practice*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1997: 2137-2155.
13. Jinkins JR. Acquired degenerative changes of the intervertebral segments at and suprajacent to the lumbosacral junction: a radioanatomic analysis of the nondiskal structures of the spinal column and perispinal soft tissues. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2001; 39:73-99